

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	ILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/579,901	05/26/00	GLANZMANN		А	GR-32	
		$\neg$	EXAMINER			
		PM82/0907	•			
FRIEDRICH K 342 MADISON		ļ	MACKEY ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 1921 NEW YORK NY			'	3651	þ	
				DATE MAILED:	09/07/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/579,901

Applicant(s)

Glanzmann

Examiner

Patrick Macker

Art Unit 3651

A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Fortunations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled shore is 16 (in MONTHS from the mading date of this communication.  If the period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mading date of the communication.  - Failare to reply with specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of it communication.  - Failare to reply with the set or extended period for reply wail, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED ISS U.S. C. § 132.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, aven if timely field, may reduce any searced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 26, 2000  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-17						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the previsions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for poly specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the consumeration.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 26, 2000  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s)   Is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s)   Is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s)   Is/are allowed.  8) Is/are allowed.  1) The proposed drawing correction filed on   Is/are objected to by the Examiner.  1) The proposed drawing correction filed on   Is/are objected to by the Examiner.  1) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  2) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  2) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Nutl 1-7.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  12() Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Nutl 1-7.	<del></del>	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with ti	he corres	pondence address
1)	A SHO THE M - Extens afte - If the be - If NO cor - Failure - Any te	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provisions of 37 CF or size of the provisions of 37 CF or size of the provisions of 37 CF or size of the provisions of the provisions of the provisions of the provisions of the provision of	FR 1.136 (a). In a strong, a reply within the period will apply a statute, cause the statute.	no event, h ne statutory and will exp ne applicati	nowever, r y minimun pire SIX (6 jon to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2e		Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 26, 2	2000			·
Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are ejected.  6) ○ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on May 26, 2000 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) ② Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  a) ○ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:  1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a).  Attachment(s)  15) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 🔯 This act	ion is non-final			
Aa) Of the above, claim(s)   is/are pending in the application.   is/are withdrawn from consideration.   is/are withdrawn from consideration.   is/are withdrawn from consideration.   is/are allowed.   is/are allowed.   is/are allowed.   is/are rejected.	3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for form rte Quayle, 19	nal matter 35 C.D.	rs, prose 11; 453	ocution as to the merits is O.G. 213.
is/are withdrawn from consideration.   is/are withdrawn from consideration.   is/are allowed.   is/are allowed.   is/are allowed.   is/are allowed.   is/are rejected.				•	ie/er	e nending in the application.
Signare allowed.   Signare allowed.   Signare rejected.   Signare rejected.   Signare rejected.   Signare objected to.   Signare objected to restriction and/or election requirement   Signare objected to by the Examiner.   Signare object						
Solution						
Claims						
Application Papers  9) ▼ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ▼ The drawing(s) filed on						
Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on	7) 🗆	Claim(s)	·			is/are objected to.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on	8) 🗆	Claims	are	e subject	to restri	ction and/or election requirement.
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  a)	9) ☑ 10) ☑ 11) □	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are  The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	y the Exa ∷a)□ a	miner. pproved	b)□ disapproved.
Attachment(s)  15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) 🔀 a) 🖟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure  be the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ve been receive ve been receive documents hav eau (PCT Rule ne certified cop	ed. ed in App e been re 17.2(a)). pies not re	olication leceived in	No n this National Stage
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  18) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			phonty under	55 0.5.	. , iii	,,,,,,
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			18) Interview 9	Summary (PT)	O-413) Papa	er No(s)
10) [ ] Hottle of Blattapologic Value of Blattapologic Conference of Con	, ,		<del>-</del>			
17) VI Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTU-1449) Paper NO(s).		formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5	20) Other:			·

Application/Control Number: 09/579,901

Art Unit: 3651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: "26". Correction is required. (Note: page 11, line 12, "29" apparently should be "26")

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 10, lines 22-23, "... disc 29, respectively, of the transport disc 14." apparently should read "... securing disc 29 and the transport disc 14, respectively."

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Objections

4. 37 CFR 1.75(i) states, "Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or stes, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation." Claims 1-17 are objected

Application/Control Number: 09/579,901

Art Unit: 3651

to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.75(i). In addition, claims 1-17 are objected to because the independent claim does not have a preamble or a transitional phrase in accordance with standard USPTO practice.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5, 8-12, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. in view of Koren (EP 0663363A1). Nelson discloses a folded sheet opening device with a transport disc (30) fixedly connected to a shaft of a first opening drum (see Figures 13 and 14) which has an outer elastic support (30c), fastened to a disc body of the transport disc with a screw (see Figure 4), cooperating with a securing disc (29) of a second opening drum (see Figures 13 and 14) to clamp an individual printed sheet (15) between the outer elastic support and the securing disc for transporting the individual printed sheet to a transport device (32). The printed sheet feeder is a gather-stitcher feeder (see col. 1, line 21). Nelson emphasizes the importance of fully controlling the sheets across their entire width to ensure proper positioning of inserts (see col. 3, lines 55-74). Nelson discloses all the limitations of the claims, but it does not disclose that the outer elastic support has an outer bearing layer, a compensation area, a radial

Application/Control Number: 09/579,901

Art Unit: 3651

compression area, an inner layer, or a plurality of stays formed as ledges or lamellas which are positioned at a slant to a radial line. However, Koren (EP0663363A) discloses an outer elastic support for a rotating disc (roller). Koren discloses that the outer elastic support includes an outer bearing layer (3), a compensation area (2), a radial compression area (9, 14), an inner layer (20), and a plurality of stays formed as ledges or lamellas which are positioned at a slant to a radial line (see Figure 5) for the purpose of controlling the position of sheets by spreading them widthwise. Koren discloses that the compensation area is more elastic in the radial direction than the outer bearing layer and that the radial compression area has a thickness at least substantially matching a radial thickness of the outer bearing layer. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Nelson by utilizing the outer elastic support disclosed by Koren for the purpose of controlling the position of sheets by spreading them widthwise.

7. Claims 1, 5-7, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. in view of Koren (EP 0663363A1) as applied to claims 1, 5, and 12 above, and further in view of Tebbe et al. Nelson and Koren together disclose all the limitations of the claims, but they don't disclose that the outer elastic support is made of polyurethane. Rather, they disclose that the outer elastic support is made of rubber. However, Tebbe discloses that polyurethane is an equivalent material known in the art (see col. 11, lines 45-47). Polyurethane is easier to clean than rubber. Therefore, because these two materials were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it

Art Unit: 3651

obvious to substitute polyurethane for rubber for the purpose of providing an easy to clean surface.

#### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Mackey whose telephone number is (703) 308-0630.

PRINCIPAL DIAMES

Patrick Mackey

September 5, 2001